

CACI's full response:

Your email to Mr. Granger states that "records and reporting have shown your connection to the decision-making process for the Desktop Support Services 3.0 BPA (70RTAC25A000000015), and that you joined CACI (the BPA awardee) one month after leaving DHS." Your information and ours are wholly inconsistent; CACI is aware of no such records or reporting, or any other information for that matter, reflecting any conflict of interest relating to Mr. Granger and the DSS 3.0 BPA or any other federal procurement.

CACI takes procurement integrity seriously, making compliance with the Procurement Integrity Act and other laws and regulations mandatory. Toward that end, we screened Mr. Granger, as a former Government employee, for conflicts before extending an offer of employment. This included a review of the DHS ethics memorandum to Mr. Granger regarding post-Government employment restrictions, and confirmation that Mr. Granger had no role in the DSS 3.0 BPA solicitation, acquisition, evaluation, source selection, or award decision process. (Our review was not limited to the DSS 3.0 BPA.) Put another way, our review indicated, and continues to reflect, that Mr. Granger had no connection to the decision-making process for the DSS 3.0 BPA procurement. Moreover, his responsibilities and position at CACI have no connection to the DSS 3.0 BPA, which reside in another line of business at CACI altogether. Mr. Granger's placement is not due to any conflict relating to the DSS 3.0 BPA. Rather, it was, and remains, a function of where in this enterprise Mr. Granger can add maximum value consistent with his post-Government restrictions.

As a result of the above, we do not understand the connection you assert exists, which we believe is non-existent. If you have any documents or information that you believe would inform CACI's judgment, please provide them to me at your earliest convenience. Thank you for your consideration. Please confirm your receipt of this email.